

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,927	01/29/2009	Sek Chung Fung	Case 1050 US	4825
2689 7590 10/1M2010 Nikolaos C., George JONES DAY 222 E. 41st. Street New York, NY 10017-6702			EXAMINER	
			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583,927 FUNG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Stephen L. Rawlings 1643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 70-75.77.78 and 80-109 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 70-75, 77, 78, and 80-109 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

 The preliminary amendment filed October 21, 2009, is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 76 and 79 have been canceled. Claims 74, 75, 77, 78, and 80 have been amended. Claims 94-109 have been added.

- The preliminary amendment filed August 18, 2008, is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 1-69 have been canceled. Claims 70-93 have been added.
- The preliminary amendment filed June 22, 2006, is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 62-69 have been added.
- 4. Claims 70-75, 77, 78, and 80-109 are pending in the application.

Elections/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 70-75, 77, 78, 80-85, and 92-95, drawn to an antibody that binds to human IL-13, wherein said antibody binds to an epitope comprising the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 18 or SEQ ID NO: 19, a composition thereof or a hybridoma cell line that produced said antibody.

Group II, claim(s) 86, 88, and 90 drawn to a method of treating asthma in a patient, said method comprising administering to the patient an antibody that binds to human II.-13.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927

Art Unit: 1643

Group III, claim(s) 87, 89, and 91, drawn to a method of treating an inflammatory disease in a patient, said method comprising administering to the patient an antibody that binds to human IL-13.

Group IV, claim(s) 96-109, drawn to a DNA sequence encoding a heavy or light chain of an antibody or fragment thereof that binds to human IL-13, a vector comprising said DNA sequence, and a host cell comprising said vector.

6. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The claims are directed to a genus of antibodies that bind to human IL-13. Although claim 70 recited the limitation, "wherein said antibody binds to an epitope comprising the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 18 or SEQ ID NO: 19" (emphasis added), since human IL-13 comprises both sequences, the claims are broadly but reasonably interpreted as encompassing any antibody that binds to IL-13 or any epitope thereof; so, since the prior art teaches an antibody (e.g., a polyclonal antibody¹) that binds to human IL-13² (or more particularly to an epitope *comprising* the amino acid sequences to which the claims are specifically directed), the technical feature that appears to link the inventive concepts of the inventions, as claimed, does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.1, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, the special technical feature of the inventions of Group I is making an antibody that binds to human IL-13, wherein said antibody binds to an epitope

¹ It is aptly noted that even if the claims were directed to an antibody that binds to an epitope consisting of SEQ ID NO: 18 or SEQ ID NO: 19, there is a reasonable presumption that a polyclonal antibody will comprise a species of antibody that binds to that particular epitope.

² See, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 5,596,072-A (see entire document, e.g., Figure 34).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927

Art Unit: 1643

comprising the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 18 or SEQ ID NO: 19, a composition thereof or a hybridoma cell line that produced said antibody.

The special technical feature of the inventions of Group II is using a method of treating asthma in a patient, said method comprising administering to the patient an antibody that binds to human IL-13.

The special technical feature of the inventions of Group III is using a method of treating an inflammatory disease in a patient, said method comprising administering to the patient an antibody that binds to human IL-13.

The special technical feature of the inventions of Group IV is making a DNA sequence encoding a heavy or light chain of an antibody or fragment thereof that binds to human IL-13, a vector comprising said DNA sequence, and a host cell comprising said vector.

Thus, the inventions of Groups I, II, III, and IV do not share the same or corresponding special technical feature so as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2.

7. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Any of the inventions of Groups I, II, III, or IV, wherein said antibody is an antibody comprising a CDRH1 having an amino acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, and 122, a CDRH2 having an amino acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, and 134, and a CDRH3 having an amino acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, and 141 and/or wherein said antibody is an antibody

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927

Art Unit: 1643

comprising a CDRL1 having an amino acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 99, 100, 101, 102, and 103, a CDRL2 having an amino acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, and 114, and a CDRL3 having an amino acid sequence selected from SEQ ID NOs: 115 and 116.

- 8. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 70. 81-93. 95-97. 108. and 109.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927

Art Unit: 1643

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927

Art Unit: 1643

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 12. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during

Application/Control Number: 10/583,927 Page 8

Art Unit: 1643

prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen L. Rawlings whose telephone number is (571) 272-0836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Misook Yu can be reached on (571) 272-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643

sir

October 11, 2010